

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES

To: ☒ U.S. District Judge / ☐ U.S. Magistrate Judge CarterFrom: D. Johnson, Deputy ClerkDate Received: 3-22-07Case No.: SACV-06-392DOC (MLG) Case Title: MIS Sciences Corp vs DomainSource IncDocument Entitled: Plaintiff's ex parte motion to correct clerical mistakes in Judgment Pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Rule 60; memorandum of points and authorities; declaration of Lauren Ross in support thereof, proposed judgment
Upon the submission of the attached document(s), it was noted that the following discrepancies exist:

- ☐ Local Rule 11-3.1 Document not legible
- ☐ Local Rule 11-3.8 Lacking name, address, phone and facsimile numbers
- ☐ Local Rule 11-4.1 No copy provided for judge
- ☐ Local Rule 19-1 Complaint/Petition includes more than ten (10) Does or fictitiously named parties
- ☐ Local Rule 15-1 Proposed amended pleading not under separate cover
- ☐ Local Rule 11-6 Memorandum/brief exceeds 25 pages
- ☐ Local Rule 11-8 Memorandum/brief exceeding 10 pages shall contain table of contents
- ☐ Local Rule 7.1-1 No Certification of Interested Parties and/or no copies
- ☐ Local Rule 6.1 Written notice of motion lacking or timeliness of notice incorrect
- ☐ Local Rule 56-1 Statement of uncontroverted facts and/or proposed judgment lacking
- ☐ Local Rule 56-2 Statement of genuine issues of material fact lacking
- ☐ Local Rule 7-19.1 Notice to other parties of ex parte application lacking
- ☐ Local Rule 16-6 Pretrial conference order not signed by all counsel
- ☐ FRCvP Rule 5(d) No proof of service attached to document(s)
- ☒ Other: Case termed on 3/12/2007

FILED

MAR 22 2007

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SOUTHERN DIVISION AT SANTA ANANote: Please refer to the court's Internet website at www.cacd.uscourts.gov for local rules and applicable forms.

ORDER OF THE JUDGE/MAGISTRATE JUDGE

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- ☒ The document is to be filed and processed. The filing date is ORDERED to be the date the document was stamped "received but not filed" with the Clerk. Counsel* is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant to Local Rule 83-7.

March 22, 2007

Date

Marcel D. Carter

U.S. District Judge / U.S. Magistrate Judge

- ☐ The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED, and is ORDERED returned to *counsel. *Counsel shall immediately notify, in writing, all parties previously served with the attached documents that said documents have not been filed with the Court.

Date

U.S. District Judge / U.S. Magistrate Judge

DOCKETED ON CM

MAR 27 2007

BY MLG 178

*The term "counsel" as used herein also includes any pro se party. See Local Rule 1-3.

14